Measurement of skin viscoelasticity: A non-invasive approach in allergic contact dermatitis
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Abstract. Non-invasive bioengineering technologies are constantly being developed, as they can provide useful insights and contribute to the improvement of medical care and scientific education. The purpose of this study was to assess skin viscoelasticity using the suction chamber method in patients with allergic contact dermatitis vs. healthy subjects, before and after applying a moisturizer safety testing cream. This was a prospective controlled study over a 3-year period (March 2016-March 2019), with 81 subjects being divided in two balanced groups: Patients with allergic contact dermatitis and healthy subjects, respectively. The skin viscoelasticity was determined for all subjects with Cutometer®, using the suction method, by performing a dynamic assessment of parameters before and after applying a moisturizing cream. The results indicate a decrease in the elasticity parameters in both groups, indicating an improvement of the elastic properties under the treatment. Skin capacity to return to its previous form after the deformation, i.e., pure elasticity and biological elasticity, showed overall elevated values in the group with contact dermatitis, demonstrating the efficacy of the emollient cream after applying it for 28 days (increase by 11.7 and 4.9% respectively, compared with baseline, when patients had dry, untreated skin). However, in healthy subjects, these parameters do not achieve important values, but they remain rather stable over time with a very slight improvement (6.6% after 28 days). The Cutometer is an easy to use, efficient and widely used instrument for measurements in studies that perform a quantitative assessment of the effectiveness of different formulations intended for application on the skin.

Introduction

The mechanical properties of the human skin have been studied in the past proving that elasticity is a dynamic function (1). More precisely, it has the properties of both elastic solids and viscous liquids (2).

Non-invasive bioengineering technologies are constantly being developed with the potential of offering new mechanistic insights. The Cutometer® (Courage+Khazaka Electronic GmbH) has been introduced as a device that can measure the viscoelastic properties of the skin in vivo. It provides information on physiological and pathological changes of human skin as well as the efficacy of topical treatment.

The present study assessed the viscoelasticity of the skin in a group of patients with chronic allergic contact dermatitis vs. a control group of healthy individuals. Assessment was performed after applying a moisturizer to both groups, demonstrating the effectiveness of this moisturizer for both healthy and unhealthy skin.

Patients and methods

This was a prospective controlled study over a period of 3 years (March 2016-March 2019), on a group of patients diagnosed with allergic contact dermatitis, the chronic type, with recurrent episodes (ACDG) and on healthy subjects (HSG). All the subjects signed an informed consent form. The inclusion criteria were: Age between 18 and 70 years with no previous treatment; allergic condition confirmed by the previous positive epicutaneous tests for specific allergens (patch-testing) for ACDG specifically (3). The exclusion criteria were: Known history of allergy to ingredients included in the testing cream; other diagnosed skin diseases (4,5); malignant skin tumors in the last 5 years (6,7); recent vaccinations, 2 weeks before starting the study or the intention of vaccination during the study; recent dermato-cosmetic or esthetic treatments, 2 months before the onset of the study (dermabrasion, peeling, laser therapy, IPL, botulinum toxin injections or fillers); recent and intense exposure (1 month before the study) to ultraviolet
A total of 81 individuals were divided in two balanced groups, the HSG with 41 individuals and the ACDG with 40 individuals, respectively.

The evaluation of cutaneous elasticity was performed on the anterior side of the middle third of the left forearm. Measurements were done before and after applying a moisturizing cream twice a day for 28 days at: Baseline-T0, one hour after application-T1h and 28 days after-T28d. After using the moisturizer, the same parameter was evaluated again dynamically, at certain time frames. The moisturizer used in the study was an oil-in-water cream (compounds listed in Table I).

The measurements were carried out using the suction method with the help of Cutometer® MPA 580, made by Courage + Khazaka Electronic GmbH in Germany, under standard conditions of temperature and humidity (T=20-22°C, humidity 40-60%) and after a rest period of 15-20 min for each subject tested (8).

Cutaneous elasticity, defined as the skin property of regaining the shape after stretching, can be measured through many non-invasive methods. The method used in the present study is based on the principle of suction and it is realized with a probe (Cutometer MPA 580). This probe measures cutaneous changes and can evaluate the efficacy of some topical products. The exploration probe makes a vacuum through a suction obtained at a constant negative pressure (450 mbar) (Fig. 1). The skin is absorbed in the probe's aperture (2 mm) for an established period of time, ascends to a maximum level, followed by a relaxation period and the skin returns to the initial level. The level difference, expressed in mm, between the two points is processed and expressed as a percentage. The variation ranges are: Less than 0.5 mm, low elasticity; between 0.5 and 1.5 mm, normal elasticity; over 1.5 mm increased elasticity (Courage + Khazaka Electronic GmbH, 2020. www.courage-khazaka.de/index.php/en/products/scientific/140-cutometer). At every measurement, the skin biomechanical properties are represented by a curve of deformation according to time (Fig. 2).

The principle of the suction method is measuring skin elevation produced by the suction force exerted over a defined area of the skin (9). Measuring suction time or suction force serves to calculate various parameters of skin mechanical properties (Table II) (10).
Skin elasticity is reflected by the U and R parameters, defined as: Ur (immediate retraction), Ue (immediate deformation), Uf (final deformation), Ur/Uf (biological elasticity), Ur/Ue (net elasticity without viscous deformation) and Ua/Uf (gross elasticity including viscous deformation). These parameters are related to the function of elastic fibers and reflect their changes in physiological or pathological skin conditions, while the viscoelasticity of the skin is related to the displacement of interstitial fluid through the fiber network in the dermis and is reflected by the parameters Uv (delayed deformation), Uv/Ue (viscoelastic ratio, i.e., the ratio of viscoelastic to elastic distension) and Ua (called also R8, meaning the final retraction after removal of the vacuum) (11).

Variations of 4 U and R parameters were analyzed: Uf, Ue, Ur/Ue and Ur/Uf in both groups. The measurements are illustrated by curves that translate the ability of the skin to return to its initial shape (elasticity) and its mechanical strength to traction (firmness). Mechanical properties of the skin, and therefore its elasticity, can be influenced by several biological or environmental factors including: Race, age, sex of the volunteers, anatomical area, water balance of the organism, hormones, comorbidities, circadian rhythm, photo exposure, season, physical stress and topical treatments (12).

Results are presented as the mean ± SD or median and 25th to 75th, as appropriate for normality of data. We used the Mann-Whitney U test or unpaired Student's t -test for continuous and independent data and the paired Student's t-test for paired data. The Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to assess correlation between continuous variable. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Statistical tests were performed using SPSS software v15.

Day 7 evaluation is not reported here, as no relevant changes from the previous evaluation were observed.

Results

Final deformation (Uf) in both groups decreased from baseline by 4.7% for ACDG and 2.2% for HSG one hour after emollient application. At 28 days after treatment, this decrease was higher compared with the previous times, but it was also reversed between the two groups (11.3% ACDG vs. 33.7% HSG) (Fig. 3).

Immediate deformation or extensibility (Ue) in both groups decreased less in one hour after emollient application (4.7% ACDG vs. 0.7% HSG) and more after 28 days of treatment (15.0% ACDG vs. 35.3% HSG) (Fig. 4).

Both parameters of the skin deformation, Uf and Ue, showed a parallel decrease in both groups (Fig. 5). However, this decrease was more important in the HSG (P=0.0120 for Uf, P<0.001 for Ue).

The Ur/Ue ratio (net elasticity without viscous deformation, i.e., pure elasticity) increased in the ACDG group at one hour
(6.5%) and 28 days (11.7%) post-application of moisturizing with the baseline T0 (Fig. 6). In the HSG, there were no differences in measurements between baseline and T1h, however differences occurred after 28 days (6.6%).

The Ur/Uf (the biological elasticity) had similar values in the HSG each time at the 3 time points considered. For the ACDG there were very slight increases, approximately equally when comparing the values at T1h and T28d, with baseline T0 (Fig. 7).

To sum up, in the ACDG the Ur/Ue (net elasticity without viscous deformation) increased progressively from T0 to T28d, as well as Ur/Uf (biological elasticity). In the HSG, Ur/Ue stagnated at T0 and T1h, but it had a slight increase at T28d. Ur/Uf showed almost a plateau; there was a mild change in the Ur/Ue variation (P=0.5773 for Ur/Ue and P=0.0765 for Ur/Uf) (Fig. 8).

Discussion

Skin mechanical functions are the expression of the biomechanical nature of its components and structure. Elasticity depends on the thickness of the skin and on the area where it is measured. Previous studies showed that there are important differences in mechanical properties of the skin relating to body site dominant/non-dominant sides or aging (12,13). Moreover, sex hormones seem to have a significant influence in the mechanical properties of the skin and therefore its elasticity (14).

In this study, skin elasticity was assessed using the U and R parameters. There are studies implying that the Q-parameters are more accurate than the U and R parameters described above and should be used to improve accuracy of measurement of the human skin elasticity (15).

The main findings showed a decrease in the Uf and Ue parameters in both groups, demonstrating that skin elastic properties improved under the treatment. More precisely, after 28 days, in the ACDG, the final deformation (Uf) decreased by 11.3% and the final retraction (Ua) by 15%, respectively.

The ratios that define the skin’s ability to return to its previous form after deformation, pure elasticity (Ur/Ue) and biological elasticity (Ur/Uf), increased in the ACDG, proving the efficacy of the emollient cream over these mechanical parameters after its application for 28 days (Ur/Ue increased by 11.7% and Ur/Uf by 4.9% when comparing to baseline in the group of patients with dry, untreated skin). In the HSG, these parameters did not show important values, but remained rather stable over time, with a slight improvement (6.6% for Ur/Ue at 28 days).

The data obtained indicate an improvement in the firmness of the skin in both groups as a result of the moisturizing effect that the applied emollient cream had. This is in accordance with the previous studies regarding epidermal hydration (16).
In regard to the cutaneous pathological modification, Cutometer® has proven its use in assessing dermal edema in erysipelas and wound healing process (17,18). Improvement of stretch marks using carboxytherapy was demonstrated with Cutometer (19).

A real help for practitioners and researchers can be the investigation of micromorphological aspects of cutaneous inflammation using in vivo reflectance confocal microscopy (RCM) (20). RCM, Cutometer could be a potential diagnostic tool for cutaneous inflammatory disorders (21). Furthermore, early assessment of inflammation caused by checkpoint inhibitor therapy can predict the type of response to immunotherapy (22).

In conclusion, measurement of the degree of skin viscoelasticity by using the suction method is effective and reproducible and recommends the Cutometer® device as effective and reproducible, non-invasive, in vivo, easy and inexpensive work tool. Cutometer is efficient and widely used in clinical trials for measurements in healthy or pathological conditions, in order to obtain a quantitative assessment of the effectiveness of different formulations intended for application on the skin, under well-controlled and standardized parameters. To support this, a study analyzed skin hydration, elasticity and skin erythema and showed that cosmetic products containing stratum corneum lipids could play a part in the restoration of disturbed skin barrier function (23).

Measuring the parameters analyzed in this investigation may open up new perspectives in the research of topical medication for several diseases, by helping to identify ideal ingredients for desired effects. Bioengineering technologies offer today valuable benefits in research and therapeutic fields; information obtained noninvasively in vivo, rapid results, high objectivity, high reproducibility and the possibility to choose any skin area are just a few advantages of bioengineering technologies.
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