Circular RNA ABCB10 promotes cell proliferation and invasion, but inhibits apoptosis via regulating the microRNA-1271-mediated Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in epithelial ovarian cancer
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Abstract. Circular RNA ABCB10 (circ-ABCB10) modulates cellular functions and microRNA (miR)-1271 in epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC). The present study aimed to investigate the interaction between circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271 in regulating EOC cellular function and the calpain small subunit 1 (Capn4)/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271 expression levels were detected in EOC cells (OVCAR3, UWB1.289, SKOV3 and CAOV3) and normal ovarian epithelial cells (IOSE80) via reverse-transcription quantitative PCR. SKOV3 cells were transfected with control short hairpin (sh)RNA plasmids, control inhibitor, circ-ABCB10 shRNA plasmids and miR-1271 inhibitor. UWB1.289 cells were transfected with control overexpression plasmids, control mimic, circ-ABCB10 overexpression plasmids and miR-1271 mimic. Subsequently, cell proliferation, apoptosis, invasion and the Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway were assessed. In addition, a luciferase activity assay was performed. circ-ABCB10 expression was significantly increased in OVCAR3, SKOV3 and CAOV3 cells compared with IOSE80 cells, but was not significantly altered in UWB1.289 cells. miR-1271 expression was significantly decreased in OVCAR3, UWB1.289, SKOV3 and CAOV3 cells compared with IOSE80 cells. In both SKOV3 and UWB1.289 cells, circ-ABCB10 negatively regulated miR-1271, whereas miR-1271 did not affect circ-ABCB10. Furthermore, circ-ABCB10 enhanced cell proliferation, invasion and the Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, but inhibited cell apoptosis, whereas miR-1271 suppressed cell proliferation, invasion and the Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway, but facilitated cell apoptosis. Moreover, miR-1271 attenuated the proproliferative, proinvasive and antiapoptotic effects of circ-ABCB10, and reversed the positive regulation of circ-ABCB10 on the Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Besides, the luciferase activity assay indicated that circ-ABCB10 directly bound to miR-1271. In conclusion, the present study indicated that circ-ABCB10 promoted cell proliferation and invasion, but inhibited apoptosis by regulating the miR-1271-mediated Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in EOC.

Introduction

Epithelial ovarian cancer (EOC) accounts for ~90% of ovarian cancer cases, which is the most common cause of gynaecological cancer-related death, causing >150,000 deaths annually worldwide (1,2). Due to the asymptomatic nature of EOC at early stages and the lack of an effective screening program for assessing disease risk, patients are generally diagnosed at an advanced stage (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics stage III and IV), which is associated with the dissemination of cancer cells from the ovary to the peritoneal cavity (1,3,4). Surgical resection and platinum-based chemotherapy are the standard treatment strategies for patients with EOC (4). However, the majority of patients develop chemoresistance and recurrence, which results in a poor 5-year relative survival rate (5). To improve the prognosis in patients with EOC, identifying the molecular pathogenies underlying EOC is important for the development of novel and effective drug targets.

Circular RNA (circ/circRNA)-ABCB10, a novel circRNA, exerts oncological effect in several types of cancer, such as breast and non-small-cell lung cancer (6-8). As for EOC, our previous study revealed that circ-ABCB10 correlates with deteriorated clinical features and poor prognosis in
patients with EOC. Moreover, the previous study indicated that circ-ABCB10 facilitates cell proliferation, inhibits cell apoptosis and negatively regulates microRNA (miR)-1271, miR-1252 and miR-203 in EOC cells (9). Meanwhile, miR-1271 suppresses cell proliferation and invasion by downregulating calpain small subunit 1 (Capn4), and Capn4 enhances EOC cell proliferation and migration by targeting the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway (10,11). Based on the aforementioned studies, it was hypothesized that circ-ABCB10 might competitively interact with miR-1271 to promote EOC progression by activating Capn4 and its downstream Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate the interaction between circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271 in regulating cell proliferation, apoptosis, invasion and the Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in EOC.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. Human EOC cell lines (OVCAR3, UWB1.289, SKOV3 and CAOV3) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection and a human normal ovarian epithelial cell line (IOSE80) was purchased from BioVector NTCC, Inc. Cells were cultured as previously described (9). OVCAR3, UWB1.289, SKOV3 and IOSE80 cell lines were cultured in 90% RPMI-1640 medium ( Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.); CAOV3 cell line was cultured in 90% DMEM ( Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.); all cell lines were cultured under 95% air and 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Following culture, the relative expression levels of circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271 in cells were determined via reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR).

Cell transfection. Control overexpression plasmids (100 nM), circ-ABCB10 overexpression plasmids (100 nM), control shRNA plasmids (100 nM) and circ-ABCB10 shRNA plasmids (100 nM) were constructed by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. using pEX-2 and pGPU6. Control mimic (100 nM; 5’-AAC ACCGAAACGAGACAGATT-3’), miR-1271 mimic (100 nM; 5’-CUUGGACCACUAGCAACUCA-3’), control inhibitor (100 nM) (5’-AAGAACACACAAAGGAAACAG-3’) and miR-1271 inhibitor (100 nM; 5’-UGAGUGCUUGCUAGUGC CAAG-3’) were also synthesized by Shanghai GenePharma Co., Ltd. SKOV3 (5x10⁴ cells/well) and UWB1.289 cells (5x10⁴ cells/well) were transfected using HilyMax (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc.). The following four groups were generated using SKOV3 cells: i) NC (-), cells co-transfected with control shRNA plasmid and control inhibitor; ii) circ (-), cells co-transfected with circ-ABCB10 shRNA plasmid and control inhibitor; iii) miR (-), cells co-transfected with miR-1271 inhibitor and control shRNA plasmid; and iv) circ (-) + miR (-), cells co-transfected with circ-ABCB10 shRNA plasmid and miR-1271 inhibitor. The following four groups were generated using UWB1.289 cells: i) NC (+), cells co-transfected with control overexpression plasmid and control mimic; ii) circ (+), cells co-transfected with circ-ABCB10 overexpression plasmid and control mimic; iii) miR (+), cells co-transfected with miR-1271 mimic and control overexpression plasmid; and iv) circ (+) + miR (+), cells co-transfected with circ-ABCB10 overexpression plasmid and miR-1271 mimic. At 24 h post-transfection, the relative expression levels of circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271 were detected via RT-qPCR.

Cell proliferation, apoptosis and invasion. Cell proliferation was assessed at 0, 24, 48 and 72 h post-transfection using a Cell Counting Kit-8 assay (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. At 48 h post-transfection, cell apoptosis was assessed using an Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection kit (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Apoptotic cells (early and late apoptosis) were detected via flow cytometry using CytoFLEX system (Beckman Coulter, Inc.), and FlowJo software 7.6 (FlowJo LLC) was used for data analysis. In addition, at 48 h post-transfection, cell invasion was evaluated by performing a Transwell assay. Each Transwell insert (size, 8 μm; Corning, Inc.) was pre-coated with Matrigel (at 37°C for 1 h), then, SKOV3 (3x10⁴ cells) and UWB1.289 cells (3x10⁴ cells) suspended in serum-free culture medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) were added into the upper chamber (Corning). Culture medium containing 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was added into the lower chamber. After 24 h of incubation, cells that invaded the Matrigel coated filters were fixed with methanol (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), stained with crystal violet (at 37°C for 15 min; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) and counted with a BX 41 inverted microscope under x200 magnification (Olympus Corporation).

RT-qPCR. Total RNA was isolated from IOSE80, OVCAR3, UWB1.289, SKOV3 and CAOV3 cells using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Linear RNA was digested using RNase R enzyme (Epipcentre; Illumina, Inc.) for the detection of circ-ABCB10, but linear RNA digestion was not conducted for the detection of miR-1271, Capn4, Wnt1, β-catenin, GAPDH and U6. Total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara Bio, Inc.). The synthetization of cDNA was performed at 37°C for 15 min and 85°C for 5 sec. Subsequently, qPCR was performed using the QuantiNova SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen GmbH) at 95°C for 30 sec, followed by 40 cycles of amplification (95°C for 5 sec and 60°C for 19 sec). The following primers were used for qPCR: circ-ABCB10 forward, 5’-GTGCTGAATGCCTCTCTCTG-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGGAATTCTTGTTGGTCTGGG-3’; GAPDH forward, 5’-GAGTCCACTGCGGCTTCTCA-3’ and reverse, 5’-ATCTTGGAGCTGTGTTGATCTACTTCT-3’; miR-1271 forward, 5’-AACCTCAGCAGCTTTCAGCATT-3’ and reverse, 5’-TGTCGTTGGATCAGGAATGCTG-3’; miR-1271 forward, 5’-AACCTCAGCAGCTTTCAGCATT-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGTAAGGGTTTCAGACGCGATTTG-3’; miR-1271 forward, 5’-AACCTCAGCAGCTTTCAGCATT-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGTAAGGGTTTCAGACGCGATTTG-3’; miR-1271 forward, 5’-AACCTCAGCAGCTTTCAGCATT-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGTAAGGGTTTCAGACGCGATTTG-3’; miR-1271 forward, 5’-AACCTCAGCAGCTTTCAGCATT-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGTAAGGGTTTCAGACGCGATTTG-3’; miR-1271 forward, 5’-AACCTCAGCAGCTTTCAGCATT-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGTAAGGGTTTCAGACGCGATTTG-3’; miR-1271 forward, 5’-AACCTCAGCAGCTTTCAGCATT-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGTAAGGGTTTCAGACGCGATTTG-3’; miR-1271 forward, 5’-AACCTCAGCAGCTTTCAGCATT-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGTAAGGGTTTCAGACGCGATTTG-3’; miR-1271 forward, 5’-AACCTCAGCAGCTTTCAGCATT-3’ and reverse, 5’-GGTAAGGGTTTCAGACGCGATTTG-3’.

Western blotting. Western blotting was performed as previously described (9). The following antibodies were used:
Capn4 (1:1,000; cat. no. ab92356; Abcam), Wnt1 (1:500; cat. no. ab15251; Abcam), β-catenin (1:400; cat. no. ab68183; Abcam), GAPDH (1:10,000; cat. no. ab128915; Abcam) and HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG H&L (1:10,000; cat. no. ab6721; Abcam).

Luciferase activity assay. The wild-type (WT) and mutant (MUT) circ-ABCB10 recombinant plasmids were constructed using the psiCHECK luciferase vector (Hanbio Biotechnology Co., Ltd.). 293T cells (5x10^5 cells/well) (American Type Culture Collection) were co-transfected with WT-circ-ABCB10 (100 nM) or MUT-circ-ABCB10 (100 nM) and miR-1271 mimic or control mimic (100 nM) using HilyMax (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc.). The following four groups were generated: i) WT + miR-1271; ii) WT + control; iii) MUT + miR-1271; and iv) MUT + control. Luciferase activity was measured using the luciferase activity detecting kit (Biothrive) with the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega Corporation) 48 h after transfection, and the Firefly luciferase activity was normalized to the Renilla luciferase activity.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation of three experimental repeats. Comparisons between two groups were analyzed using the unpaired Student's t-test. Comparisons among multiple groups were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's or Tukey's post hoc test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS 22.0 Software (IBM Corp.), and graphs were plotted by GraphPad Prism 7.02 Software (GraphPad Software, Inc.).

Results

Comparison of circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271 expression levels between EOC and normal ovarian epithelial cells. Compared with IOSE80 cells, circ-ABCB10 expression was significantly increased in OVCAR3, SKOV3 and CAOV3 cells, but was not significantly altered in UWB1.289 cells (Fig. 1A). miR-1271 expression was significantly decreased in OVCAR3, UWB1.289, SKOV3 and CAOV3 cells compared with IOSE80 cells (Fig. 1B). Therefore, SKOV3 and UWB1.289 cells were selected for subsequent experiments.

circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271 expression levels following transfection. In SKOV3 cells, circ-ABCB10 expression levels were significantly decreased in the circ (-) group compared with the NC (-) group, but were similar between the miR (-) group and the NC (-) group, as well as between the circ (-) + miR (-) and circ (-) groups (Fig. 2A). miR-1271 expression levels were significantly decreased in the miR (-) group compared with the NC (-) group, and in the circ (-) + miR (-) group compared with the circ (-) group. By contrast, miR-1271 expression levels were significantly increased in the circ (-) group compared with the NC (-) group (Fig. 2B). In UWB1.289 cells, circ-ABCB10 expression levels were significantly increased in the circ (+) group compared with NC (+) group, but similar between the miR (+) group and the NC (+) group, as well as between the circ (+) + miR (+) group and the circ (+) group (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, miR-1271 relative expression levels were significantly elevated in the miR (+) group compared with the NC (+) group, and in the circ (+) + miR (+) group compared with the circ (+) group. By contrast, miR-1271 expression was significantly decreased in the circ (+) group compared with the NC (+) group (Fig. 2D). Collectively, the results indicated that circ-ABCB10 negatively regulated miR-1271, whereas miR-1271 did not regulate circ-ABCB10 in EOC.

circ-ABCB10 promotes cell proliferation by targeting miR-1271. In SKOV3 cells, cell proliferation was significantly increased in the miR (-) group compared with NC (-) group at 48 and 72 h. Similarly, cell proliferation was significantly increased in the circ (-) + miR (-) group compared with circ (-) group at 48 and 72 h, but significantly decreased in the circ (-) group compared with the NC (-) group at 48 and 72 h (Fig. 3A). In UWB1.289 cells, cell proliferation was significantly reduced in the miR (+) group compared with the NC (+) group at 48 and 72 h, and in the circ (+) + miR (+) group compared with the circ (+) group at 48 and 72 h. By contrast, cell proliferation was significantly enhanced in the circ (+) group compared with the NC (+) group at 48 and 72 h (Fig. 3B). Collectively, the results...
Figure 2. Interaction between circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271. (A) circ-ABCB10 and (B) miR-1271 expression levels in NC (-), miR (-), circ (-) and circ (+) + miR (-) SKOV3 cells. (C) circ-ABCB10 and (D) miR-1271 expression levels in NC (+), miR (+), circ (+) and circ (+) + miR (+) UWB1.289 cells. **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. circ-ABCB10, circular RNA ABCB10; miR-1271, microRNA 1271; NC, negative control; NS, not significant; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; OE, overexpression.

Figure 3. Effect of circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271 on cell proliferation. Cell proliferation at 0, 24, 48 and 72 h in (A) NC (-), miR (-), circ (-) and circ (-) + miR (-) SKOV3 cells, and (B) NC (+), miR (+), circ (+) and circ (+) + miR (+) UWB1.289 cells. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, circ-ABCB10, circular RNA ABCB10; miR-1271, microRNA 1271; NC, negative control; OD, optical density; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; OE, overexpression; NS, not significant.
indicated that miR-1271 reversed the proproliferative effect of circ-ABCB10 in EOC.

circ-ABCB10 inhibits cell apoptosis by targeting miR-1271. In SKOV3 cells, cell apoptosis was significantly decreased in the miR (-) group compared with the NC (-) group, and in the circ (-) + miR (-) group compared with the circ (-) group. By contrast, cell apoptosis was significantly increased in the circ (-) group compared with the NC (-) group (Fig. 4A and B). In UWB1.289 cells, cell apoptosis was significantly increased...
in the miR (+) group compared with the NC (+) group, and in the circ (+) + miR (+) group compared with the circ (+) group. Moreover, cell apoptosis was significantly reduced in the circ (+) group compared with the NC (+) group (Fig. 4C and D). Collectively, the results suggested that miR‑1271 attenuated the antiapoptotic effect of circ‑ABCB10 in EOC.

circ‑ABCB10 promotes cell invasion by targeting miR‑1271.
In SKOV3 cells, cell invasion was significantly increased in the miR (–) group compared with the NC (–) group, and in the circ (–) + miR (–) group compared with the circ (–) group. By contrast, cell invasion was significantly decreased in the circ (–) group compared with the NC (–) group (Fig. 4C and D). In UWB1.289 cells, cell invasion was significantly decreased in the miR (–) group compared with the NC (–) group, and in the circ (–) + miR (–) group compared with the circ (–) group, but significantly increased in the circ (+) group compared with the NC (+) group (Fig. 5C and D). Collectively, the results indicated that miR‑1271 reversed the proinvasive effect of circ‑ABCB10 in EOC.

circ‑ABCB10 promotes the Capn4/Wnt/β‑catenin signaling pathway by targeting miR‑1271. In SKOV3 cells, Capn4, Wnt and β‑catenin expression levels were significantly increased in the miR (–) group compared with the NC (–) group, and in the circ (–) + miR (–) group compared with the circ (–) group, but significantly decreased in the circ (–) group compared with the NC (–) group (Figs. 6A–D and S1). In UWB1.289 cells, Capn4, Wnt and β‑catenin expression levels were significantly decreased in the miR (+) group compared with the NC (+) group, and in the circ (+) + miR (+) group compared with the circ (+) group. By contrast, Capn4, Wnt and β‑catenin expression levels were significantly increased in the circ (+) group compared with the NC (+) group.

Figure 5. Effect of circ‑ABCB10 and miR‑1271 on cell invasion. (A) Cell invasion in NC (–), miR (–), circ (–) and circ (–) + miR (–) SKOV3 cells. (B) Representative images of the Transwell assay in SKOV3 cells under x200 magnification. (C) Cell invasion in NC (+), miR (+), circ (+) and circ (+) + miR (+) UWB1.289 cells. (D) Representative images of the Transwell assay in UWB1.289 cells under x200 magnification. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. circ‑ABCB10, circular RNA ABCB10; miR‑1271, microRNA 1271; NC, negative control; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; OE, overexpression.
Figure 6. Effect of circ-ABCB10 and miR-1271 on the Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. mRNA expression levels of (A) Capn4, (B) Wnt and (C) β-catenin in SKOV3 cells. (D) Protein expression levels of Capn4, Wnt and β-catenin in SKOV3 cells. mRNA expression levels of (E) Capn4, (F) Wnt and (G) β-catenin in UBW1.289 cells. (H) Protein expression levels of Capn4, Wnt and β-catenin in UBW1.289 cells. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001. circ-ABCB10, circular RNA ABCB10; miR-1271, microRNA 1271; Capn4, calpain small subunit 1; NC, negative control; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; OE, overexpression.
ability (15). circRNAs either function as oncogenic stimuli or resistant to RNA degradation and has limited protein coding RNA, is generated by non‑random back‑splice events, is diseases (14). circRNA, a covalently closed non‑coding RNA, is associated with various types of cancer (6‑8). In breast cancer, circ‑ABCB10 knockdown inhibits breast cancer cell proliferation but facilitates apoptosis by interacting with miR‑1271 (7). In non‑small cell lung cancer, circ‑ABCB10 expression is upregulated in NSCLC cell lines, which enhances cell proliferation and migration via sponging miR‑1252 to upregulate forkhead box 2 (FOXO2) synthase expression (8). As for EOC, our previous study uncovered that circ‑ABCB10 expression is elevated in EOC cell lines compared with normal ovarian epithelial cells, and it increases cell proliferation, but decreases apoptosis and the expression of miR‑1271, miR‑1252 and miR‑203 (9). miR‑1271 serves as a tumor suppressive miRNA, which inhibits cell proliferation and invasion via modulating downstream target genes in various types of cancer (10,16,17). In EOC, miR‑1271 inhibits cell viability, invasion and epithelial mesenchymal transition by directly binding to the 3‑untranslated region of zinc finger E‑box binding homeobox 1 mRNA in EOC (17). In colorectal cancer, miR‑1271 promotes cell proliferation and invasion by suppressing Capn4 (10). Capn4 facilitates cell proliferation and migration in EOC by activating the Wnt/β‑catenin signaling pathway (11). Therefore, it was hypothesized that circ‑ABCB10 might promote the progression of EOC via targeting miR‑1271, Capn4 and the Wnt/β‑catenin signaling pathway.

In the present study, the results indicated that circ‑ABCB10 expression was upregulated, whereas miR‑1271 expression was downregulated in EOC cells compared with normal ovarian cells. To investigate the role of the interaction between circ‑ABCB10 and miR‑1271 in cell proliferation, invasion and apoptosis, rescue experiments were performed. circ‑ABCB10 negatively regulated miR‑1271, whereas miR‑1271 did not regulate circ‑ABCB10 in EOC. Furthermore, circ‑ABCB10 enhanced cell proliferation and invasion, but inhibited cell apoptosis in EOC. By contrast, miR‑1271 suppressed cell proliferation and invasion, and facilitated cell apoptosis in EOC. miR‑1271 reversed the proproliferative, proinvasive and antiapoptotic effects of circ‑ABCB10 in EOC. Collectively, the results suggested that circ‑ABCB10 facilitated cell proliferation and invasion, but suppressed cell apoptosis by negatively regulating miR‑1271 in EOC. A few potential explanations for the results of the present study include: i) circ‑ABCB10 might affect the function of its host gene ABCB10, which regulates miR‑1271 in EOC and ii) circ‑ABCB10 might promote the progression of EOC via sponging miRNAs to regulate the activities of downstream target genes or protein expression (6,15). circ‑ABCB10 is involved in the tumorigenesis of various types of cancer (6‑8).

EOC is a highly heterogeneous malignancy that is characterized by different precursor lesions, tissues of origin, molecular properties and clinical outcomes (2,4). Despite advances in molecular predictors (for example, cancer antigen 125 and human epididymal protein 4), surgical debulking and chemotherapy for EOC in the past three decades, the clinical outcomes remain undesirable with increased chemoresistance and recurrence in patients with EOC (2,13). To develop a novel and effective treatment strategy, the molecular pathogenesis underlying EOC requires further investigation.

With the application of high‑throughput technologies, the underlying mechanisms and applications of circRNAs as therapeutic targets are widely explored in various diseases (14). circRNA, a covalently closed non‑coding RNA, is generated by non‑random back‑splice events, is resistant to RNA degradation and has limited protein coding ability (15). circRNAs either function as oncogenic stimuli or tumor suppressors in cancer development and progression by sponging miRNAs to regulate the activities of downstream target genes or protein expression (6,15). circ‑ABCB10 is involved in the tumorigenesis of various types of cancer (6‑8).
confirm the sponging effect of circ-ABCB10 on miR-1271, a luciferase activity assay was performed, which indicated that circ-ABCB10 directly bound to miR-1271 in EOC. Herein, several explanations were proposed: i) circ-ABCB10 upregulated the Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway and subsequently induced mutations of the β-catenin gene (CTNNB1), which promoted tumor cell proliferation, migration and invasion via matrix metalloproteinase-2 (20); and ii) circ-ABCB10 promoted Wnt/β-catenin pathway signaling and amplified the activation of target genes (such as c-Myc and cyclin-D1), which facilitated the malignant progression of EOC (21).

In conclusion, this study indicated that circ-ABCB10 promoted cell proliferation and invasion, but suppressed apoptosis by regulating the miR-1271-mediated Capn4/Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in EOC, highlighting the involvement of the circ-ABCB10/miR-1271/β-catenin signaling pathway in the progression of EOC.
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