Anti-EpCAM monoclonal antibody exerts antitumor activity against oral squamous cell carcinomas
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Abstract. The epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) is a calcium-independent, homophilic, intercellular adhesion factor classified as a transmembrane glycoprotein. In addition to cell adhesion, EpCAM also contributes to cell signaling, differentiation, proliferation, and migration. EpCAM is an essential factor in the carcinogenesis of numerous human cancers. In the present study, we developed and validated an anti-EpCAM monoclonal antibody (mAb), EpMab-16 (IgG2a, kappa), by immunizing mice with EpCAM-overexpressing CHO-K1 cells. EpMab-16 specifically reacted with endogenous EpCAM in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) cell lines in flow cytometry and Western blot analyses. It exhibited a plasma membrane-like stain pattern in OSCC tissues upon immunohistochemical analysis. The Kd for EpMab-16 in SAS and HSC-2 OSCC cells were assessed via flow cytometry at 1.1x10^-8 and 1.9x10^-8 M, respectively, suggesting moderate binding affinity of EpMab-16 for EpCAM. We then assessed whether the EpMab-16 induced antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) against OSCC cell lines, and antitumor capacity in a murine xenograft model. In vitro experiments revealed strong ADCC and CDC inducement against OSCC cells treated with EpMab-16. In vivo experiments on OSCC xenografts revealed that EpMab-16 treatment significantly reduced tumor growth compared with the control mouse IgG. These data indicated that EpMab-16 could be a promising treatment option for EpCAM-expressing OSCCs.

Introduction

Cellular junctions are critical for maintaining cellular architecture and are comprised of several different cell adhesion molecules (CAM) (1). Thus, the four major CAM families are selectins, cadherins, integrins, and the immunoglobulin CAM superfamily (2). The extracellular domain of selectins consists of a calcium-dependent lectin domain, an epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like domain, a domain homologous to EGF, and two to nine consensus repeats. Selectins also contain a hydrophobic transmembrane domain and a short cytoplasmic tail. The cadherins are calcium-dependent glycoproteins that have an extracellular domain CAM with three to five internal repeats, along with a single-span transmembrane domain and an intracellular domain (2). Integrins are composed of two or more noncovalently associated membrane-spanning subunits labeled α and β (3). The specific combination of α and β subunits confers specificity for different extracellular ligands and their concomitant intracellular signaling events, and each represents a significant receptor family within the context of interaction with the extracellular matrix (3). The Ig-CAMs are calcium-independent, with an extracellular domain comprising a ligand-binding region of four to six Ig-like repeats, one to five fibronectin-like repeats, a transmembrane domain, and an intracellular component (1). While these families predominate, numerous CAMs do not share any structural similarities with them, one of which is epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM) (4).
EpCAM was discovered approximately 40 years ago and was one of the first identified human tumor-associated biomarkers (5). These days, EpCAM is also considered as a marker for tumor-initiating cells (6). EpCAM is a transmembrane, calcium-independent, homophilic, intercellular adhesion glycoprotein. It has three distinct domains, extracellular, transmembrane, and intracellular. In addition to cell adhesion, EpCAM functions include cell signaling, differentiation, proliferation, and migration (4). EpCAM has been implicated in carcinogenesis and is expressed robustly in numerous human epithelial cancers, including cancers of the lung, colon, breast, ovary, cervix, and oral cavity, making it a promising target for cancer diagnosis and therapy (7-9).

Oral cancers account for approximately 2% of all cancer cases worldwide (10). More than 350,000 individuals are diagnosed with oral cancer each year, and it is ultimately fatal in nearly half of all cases (11,12). Of the defined histological types of oral cancers, >90% of patients develop oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) on the lips or within the oral cavity (13). The most effective current treatments for OSCC vary. Stage-I and -II OSCCs are treated with surgery or radiotherapy; advanced stage-III and -IV disease are treated with a combination of excision, radiation, and chemotherapy (14).

Typically, chemotherapeutic regimens include cisplatin as a first-line agent; it is often combined with docetaxel or 5-fluorouracil (15,16). Other anticancer drugs, such as paclitaxel, methotrexate, and carboplatin, have also been used for OSCCs (17). Effective molecular-targeting drugs, however, including antibody therapeutics, remain lacking.

In the present study, we developed a set of new anti-EpCAM monoclonal antibodies (mAbs), using Cell-Based Immunization and Screening (CBIS) methods (18). We then tested whether these anti-EpCAM mAbs induced antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC), complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC), or other antitumor activity against oral cancers in a murine xenograft model.

Materials and methods

Plasmids. The Genome Network Project clone IRAK021G03 (EpCAM) was provided by the RIKEN BioResource Research Center through the National BioResource Project of the MEXT and AMED agencies of Japan (19-22). EpCAM DNA plus a C-terminal PA tag that is recognized by the anti-PA tag mAb (NZ-1), was subcloned into a pCAG-Ble vector (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation).

Cell lines. P3X63Ag8U.1 (P3U1) and CHO-K1 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). CHO/EpCAM was established by transfecting pCAG/EpCAM-PA into CHO-K1 cells using the Neon Transfection System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). A few days after transfection, cells positive for anti-EpCAM mAb (clone 9C4; cat. no. 324202; BioLegend, Inc.) were sorted using a cell sorter (SH800; Sony Biotechnology Corp.), and stable transfectants were cultured at 37°C for 14 days on media containing 0.5 mg/ml zeocin (InvivoGen). OSCC cell lines, including SAS (tongue) and HSC-2 (oral cavity), were obtained from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources Cell Bank. P3U1, CHO-K1 and CHO/EpCAM were cultured in RPMI-1640 media (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). SAS and HSC-2 were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). The media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.), 100 U/ml of penicillin (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.), 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.), and 0.25 µg/ml amphotericin B (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.), and incubated at 37°C for 14 days in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

Antibodies. Purified mouse IgG (cat. no. I8765) and mouse IgG₂ (cat. no. M7769) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA. Anti-EpCAM mAbs were purified using Protein G-Sepharose (GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences).

Animals. Thirty-eight 5-week-old female BALB/c nude mice (mean weight, 15±3 g) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories, Inc. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines and regulations to minimize animal suffering and distress in the laboratory. The Institutional Committee for experiments of the Institute of Microbial Chemistry (Permit no. 2019-066) approved the animal studies for ADCC and antitumor activity. Mice were maintained in a specific pathogen-free environment on an 11-h light/13-h dark cycle at a temperature of 23±2°C and 55±5% humidity with food and water supplied ad libitum throughout the experiments. Mice were monitored for health and weight every 1 or 4 days. Experiments on mice were conducted in three or fewer weeks. Weight loss exceeding 25% or tumor size exceeding 3,000 mm³ were identified as humane endpoints for euthanasia. At humane and experimental endpoints, mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, and death was verified by validating respiratory and cardiac arrest.

Hybridoma production. We used a CBIS method (18) to develop mAbs against EpCAM. Briefly, one BALB/c mouse was intraperitoneally (i.p.) immunized with CHO/EpCAM cells (1x10⁸) along with Injetc Alum adjuvant (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The procedure included three additional immunizations, followed by a final booster injection administered i.p. two days before spleen cell harvesting. Spleen cells were then fused with P3U1 cells using PEG1500 (Roche Diagnostics). Hybridomas were grown at 37°C for 10 days in RPMI-1640 media with HAT Supplement (50x) (cat. no. 21060017; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for selection. Culture supernatants were screened using flow cytometry.

Flow cytometry. Cells (2x10⁶ cells/ml) were harvested after brief exposure to 0.25% trypsin in 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA; Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). After washing with 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Nacalai Tesque, Inc.) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Nacalai Tesque, Inc.), cells were treated with 1 µg/ml of anti-EpCAM mAbs for 30 min at 4°C, and then with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:1,000; product no. 4408; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). Fluorescence data were collected using an EC800 Cell Analyzer (Sony Biotechnology Corp.).

Western blot analyses. Cell pellets were resuspended in PBS with 1% Triton X-100 (cat. no. 168-11805; FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation) and 50 µg/ml aprotinin.
(product no. 03346-84; Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). Protein concentration was determined by BCA method. Cell lysates were boiled in sodium dodecyl sulfate sample buffer (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.). The samples (10 µg/lane) were then electrophoresed on 5-20% polyacrylamide gels (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.) and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Merck KGaA). After blocking with 4% skim milk (Nacalai Tesque, Inc.) for 1 h, the membrane was incubated with an anti-EpCAM mAb (5 µg/ml) or anti-β-actin (1 µg/ml; clone Tesque, Inc.) for 1 h, followed by incubation with HRP-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulins (cat. no. P0260; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) or anti-rat IgG (cat. no. A9542; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) at a 1:2,000 dilution for 1 h. The membrane was developed using the ImmunoStar LD Chemiluminescence Reagent (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation) and a Sayaca-Imager (DRC Co., Ltd.). All western blot procedures were performed at room temperature.

**Immunohistochemical analyses.** Histologic sections 4-µm thick of an oral cancer tissue array (cat. no. OR481; US Biomax, Inc.) were autoclaved directly in EnVision FLEX Target Retrieval Solution, High pH (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) for 20 min. Sections were then incubated with 10 µg/ml of an anti-EpCAM mAb for 1 h at room temperature and treated using an EnVision+ kit (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) for 30 min according to the manufacturer's instructions. The color was developed using 3, 3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB; Agilent Technologies Inc.) for 2 min at room temperature, and sections were then counterstained with hematoxylin (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation) at room temperature for 5 min. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation) and a 4-µm thick of an oral cancer tissue array (cat. no. OR481; US Biomax, Inc.) were autoclaved directly in EnVision FLEX Target Retrieval Solution, High pH (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) at room temperature for 5 min. Leica DMD108 (Leica Microsystems GmbH) was used to examine the sections and obtain images (x100 and x400).

**Determination of the binding affinity.** Cells (2x10^5 cells/ml) were suspended in 100 µl of serially diluted anti-EpCAM mAb (6 ng/ml-100 µg/ml), followed by the addition of Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (1:200; cat. no. 4408; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.). Fluorescence data were collected using an EC800 Cell Analyzer (Sony Biotechnology Corp.). The dissociation constant (K_d) was calculated by fitting binding isotherms to built-in, one-site binding models in GraphPad Prism 6 (GraphPad Software, Inc.).

**ADCC.** ADCC inducement by EpCAM was assayed as follows. Six female five-week-old BALB/c nude mice (mean weight, 15±3 g) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories Japan, Inc. After a two-week acclimation period, these mice were used in experiments at seven weeks of age (mean weight, 16±2 g). HSC-2 and SAS cells (0.3 ml of 1.33x10^6 cells/ml in DMEM) were mixed with 0.5 ml BD Matrigel Matrix Growth Factor Reduced (BD Biosciences), and 100 µl of this suspension (5x10^6 cells) was injected subcutaneously into the left flank of each animal. On day 1 post-inoculation, 100 µg of an anti-EpCAM mAb or control mouse IgG in 100 µl PBS was injected i.p. Additional antibody inoculations were performed on days 8 and 14. Seventeen days or eighteen days after cell implantation, all mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation, and tumor diameters and volumes were measured and recorded.

**Statistical analyses.** All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. Statistical analysis was conducted with one-way ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparisons tests for ADCC and CDC, one-way ANOVA and Sidak's multiple comparisons tests for tumor volume and mouse weight, and Welch's t-test for tumor weight. All calculations were performed with GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). A P-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Development and characterization of anti-EpCAM mAbs.** Anti-EpCAM mAbs were developed by immunizing a single mouse with CHO/EpCAM cells. Hybridomas were cultured, and supernatants positive for CHO/EpCAM and negative for...
CHO-K1 were selected by flow cytometry. Further screening using western blot and immunohistochemical assays were performed for validation, resulting in EpMab-16 (IgG2a, kappa) identification and establishment.

Flow cytometry was then used to assess the sensitivity of EpMab-16 in CHO/EpCAM and OSCC cell lines (SAS and HSC-2). EpMab-16 reacted with CHO/EpCAM cells but not with CHO-K1 cells (Fig. 1). EpMab-16 also reacted with SAS and HSC-2 cells (Fig. 1). Flow cytometric data indicated that EpMab-16 was highly sensitive and highly specific for EpCAM.

Then a western blot assay was conducted to delineate the sensitivity of EpMab-16 further. Lysates of CHO/EpCAM, SAS, and HSC-2 cells were probed, and the results revealed that EpMab-16 detected 35 kDa band of EpCAM strongly in cell lysates from CHO/EpCAM, whereas it detected EpCAM moderately in cell lysates from SAS, and faintly from HSC-2 cells, indicating that EpMab-16 could detect both exogenous and endogenous EpCAM (Fig. 2).

Immunohistochemical analysis results revealed that EpMab-16 detected membrane antigens in oral cancer tissues (Fig. 3A, B, E and F). Among 38 OSCC cases in the oral cancer tissue array, 6 cases (16%) were stained by EpMab-16. In this staining, antigen retrieval using EnVision FLEX Target Retrieval Solution High pH was performed. The signal was lower for citrate buffer (pH 6.0) antigen retrieval (data not shown), such that antigen retrieval using high pH was deemed

Figure 1. Recognition of EpCAM by an anti-EpCAM mAb. CHO/EpCAM, CHO-K1, SAS, and HSC-2 cells were treated with EpMab-16 (1 µg/ml) and buffer control, followed by secondary antibodies. EpCAM, epithelial cell adhesion molecule.

Figure 2. Western blot analysis using an anti-EpCAM mAb. Cell lysates (10 µg) of CHO-K1, CHO/EpCAM, SAS, and HSC-2 were electrophoresed and transferred onto PVDF membranes. The membranes were incubated with 5 µg/ml of EpMab-16 and 1 µg/ml of anti-β-actin, followed by peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulins. The red arrow indicates EpCAM. The blue arrows indicate non-specific bands, which were detected in both CHO-K1 and CHO/EpCAM cells. EpCAM, epithelial cell adhesion molecule; mAb, monoclonal antibody; PVDF, polyvinylidene difluoride.
essential for immunostaining using EpMab-16. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was performed using consecutive OSCC tissues (Fig. 3C, D, G and H). Results indicated that EpMab-16 detected EpCAM in immunohistochemical analysis on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues effectively.

Then a kinetic analysis of the interactions of EpMab-16 with SAS and HSC-2 oral cancer cell lines was then conducted using flow cytometry. The $K_D$ for EpMab-16 in SAS cells was $1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ M, and the $K_D$ for EpMab-16 against HSC-2 cells was $1.9 \times 10^{-8}$ M (Fig. 4), indicating a moderate binding affinity of EpMab-16 against oral cancer cells.

ADCC and CDC activities of EpMab-16 in oral cancer cell lines. We then examined whether EpMab-16 (mouse IgG2a) induced ADCC and CDC antitumor action in EpCAM-expressing SAS and HSC-2 oral cancer cell lines. EpMab-16 exhibited higher ADCC (48% cytotoxicity) in SAS cells than that of control mouse IgG2a (11% cytotoxicity; $P<0.01$) or control PBS (9.4% cytotoxicity; $P<0.01$) treatment (Fig. 5A). Similarly, EpMab-16 exhibited higher ADCC (27% cytotoxicity) in HSC-2 cells than that of control mouse...
On days 1, 8, and 14 after SAS cell injections into mouse xenografts of SAS oral cancer cells, EpMab-16 antitumor effect in mouse xenografts of SAS oral cancer cells in vivo that EpMab-16 may induce strong antitumor action against These favorable ADCC and CDC activity results indicated that EpMab-16 significantly reduced not only the growth of SAS xenografts, but did not altogether eliminate them.

EpMab-16 antitumor effect in mouse xenografts of HSC-2 oral cancer cells. On days 1, 8, and 14 after HSC-2 cell injections, EpMab-16 (100 µg) or control mouse IgG (100 µg) were injected i.p. into HSC-2 xenograft model mice. Tumor formation was observed in mice in the treatment and control groups. Tumor volume was measured on days 7, 10, 14, and 18 after HSC-2 cell injection. The EpMab-16-treated mice exhibited significantly less tumor growth on day 18 (P<0.01) than the IgG-treated control mice (Fig. 8A). Tumor volume reduction by EpMab-16 treatment was 33% as of day 18. Tumors from EpMab-16-treated mice weighed significantly less than tumors from IgG-treated control mice (21% reduction, P<0.05; Fig. 8B). Resected tumors on day 18 are presented in Fig. 8C. Total body weights did not significantly differ between the treatment and control groups (Fig. S1). These results indicated that EpMab-16 reduced the growth of HSC-2 xenografts, although it did not eliminate them.

Discussion

EpCAM has been reported to be overexpressed in several cancers (23-27). EpCAM is overexpressed in 55-75% of ovarian cancers (24), and is overexpressed in over 90% of ovarian cancer metastatic lesions (25). Overexpression of EpCAM has been revealed to be correlated with poor prognosis, therapeutic failure and early tumor recurrence in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) patients (26). EpCAM has also been revealed to play important roles in proliferation, apoptosis, and metastasis during breast cancer progression (27). Therefore, EpCAM should be a promising novel therapeutic target.

In the present study, it was investigated whether anti-EpCAM mAbs could be useful for treating oral cancers via ADCC and CDC activity. We first developed a sensitive and specific anti-EpCAM mAb (EpMab-16, mouse IgG2a), which exhibited favorable potential in flow cytometry, western blot and immunohistochemical analyses. The present results also suggested that EpMab-16 had diagnostic efficacy in FFPE tissues because pathological diagnosis utilizes FFPE tissues. It was also demonstrated that EpMab-16 was associated with strong ADCC and CDC activity against SAS and HSC-2 OSCC cell lines in vitro. The ADCC and CDC activity of EpMab-16 against OSCC was more pronounced in SAS cells than HSC-2 cells, presumably because the EpCAM expression level and binding affinity are greater in SAS than in HSC-2. ADCC and CDC activities of EpMab-16 against Caco-2, a colon cancer cell line were also assessed, and values were 44 and 49%, respectively (data not shown), suggesting that EpMab-16 could induce observable ADCC and CDC in other EpCAM-expressing cancers in addition to the oral cancer cell lines assessed in this study.

It was then investigated whether EpMab-16 exerted antitumor function against OSCC xenografts in vivo. Although EpMab-16 significantly reduced not only the growth of SAS and HSC-2 xenografts, but also the tumor weight of SAS and HSC-2 xenografts, the tumor reduction was not sufficient to eliminate them. We previously investigated whether...
podocalyxin (PODXL) could be a therapeutic target in OSCC using anti-PODXL mAbs developed by converting an anti-PODXL mAb of IgG1 subclass (PcMab-47) into a mouse IgG2a-type mAb (47-mG2a) to increase ADCC (28). This was further developed into 47-mG2a-f, a core fucose-deficient variant of 47-mG2a, also to increase its ADCC. *In vivo* analyses demonstrated that 47-mG2a-f, but not 47-mG2a, exerted antitumor activity in SAS and HSC-2 xenograft models at a dose of 100 µg/mouse/week administered three times, indicating that a core fucose-deficient anti-PODXL mAb could be profitable for antibody-based therapy against PODXL-expressing OSCCs (28). We thereby anticipate that...
developing a core fucose-deficient variant of EpMab-16 will reveal a similar enhancement of ADCC activity in a future study.

Results of this study and our previous work, which includes the development of mAbs effective in SAS and HSC-2 xenografts against epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)
(clone EMab-17, mouse IgG1) (29), human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) (clone H,MAb-19, mouse IgG1) (30), and cancer-specific mAb (CasMab) against podoplanin (PDPN) (31), suggest that the targeting of several molecules, such as PODXL, EGFR, HER2, PDPN, as well as EpCAM, could be an effective therapy to cure OSCCs. In the future, cancer-specific anti-EpCAM mAbs may also be developed that can reduce the adverse effects of traditional antibody therapy.
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